

Figure 12 - Comparison of Alternatives by Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria		1	2	3	4	Notes
		No Action	Institutional Controls	Capping and Institutional Controls	Soil Excavation and Institutional Controls	
Threshold	1 Protection of Human Health and the Environment	●	● ● ●	● ● ●	● ● ●	All alternatives except No Action would be protective of Human health.
	2 Compliance with ARARs	●	● ● ●	● ● ●	● ● ●	There are no chemical-specific ARARs for lead and arsenic in soil. No location-specific ARARs were identified. All alternatives would comply with action-specific ARARs.
Primary Balancing	3 Long-term Effectiveness	●	● ●	● ● ●	● ● ●	Soil excavation and offsite disposal provides the greatest degree of effectiveness and permanence followed by capping. Institutional controls are the least effective and permanent.
	4 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment	●	● ●	● ●	● ● ●	None of the alternatives include treatment.
	5 Short-term Effectiveness	●	● ● ●	● ●	● ●	Excavation and offsite disposal poses the greatest short-term risks to the community and workers.
	6 Implementability	● ● ●	● ●	●	●	Soil excavation would be more difficult to implement and could not be fully implemented until buildings are removed as part of future redevelopment.
	7 Cost	● ● ●	● ● ●	●	●	Soil excavation would not provide a substantial increase in overall protection for the increased cost.
Modifying	8 State Acceptance					CDPHE acceptance will be evaluated at the close of the Public Comment Period.
	9 Community Acceptance					Community acceptance will be evaluated at the close of the Public Comment Period.
Legend: ● = Low ● ● = Moderate ● ● ● = High						

Legend: ● = Low ● ● = Moderate ● ● ● = High